



รายงานวิจัยฉบับสมบูรณ์

โครงการ พัฒนาทักษะการเรียนรู้และทักษะทางภาษาอังกฤษผ่านบทเรียน

ภาษาอังกฤษเชิงการคิดวิเคราะห์

โดย ผศ. ดร. ประพิ จันทราสกุล

พฤษภาคม 2554

สัญญาเลขที่ MRG5180349

รายงานหัวข้อฉบับสมบูรณ์

โครงการ พัฒนาทักษะการเรียนรู้และทักษะทางภาษาอังกฤษผ่านบทเรียนภาษาอังกฤษ
เชิงการคิดวิเคราะห์

ผศ. ดร. ประไฟ จันทรากุล มหาวิทยาลัยเทคโนโลยีพระจอมเกล้าพระนครเหนือ

สนับสนุนโดยสำนักงานคณะกรรมการการอุดมศึกษา และสำนักงานกองทุนสนับสนุนการวิจัย

(ความเห็นในรายงานนี้เป็นของผู้วิจัย สกอ. และ สกอ. ไม่จำเป็นต้องเห็นด้วยเสมอไป)

Contents

	Pages
Abstract	4
Executive summary	6
Chapter 1	8
Chapter 2	10
Chapter 3	13
Chapter 4	19
Chapter 5	31
References	35

Abstract (บทคัดย่อ)

Project Code : MRG5180349

(รหัสโครงการ)

Project Title : Utilizing critical thinking-based EFL lessons: A means to improve language skills and encourage student engagement in Thai English classes

(ชื่อโครงการ) พัฒนาทักษะการเรียนรู้และทักษะทางภาษาอังกฤษผ่านบทเรียนภาษาอังกฤษเชิงการคิดวิเคราะห์

Investigator : ผศ.ดร. ประพิ จันทราสกุล

(ชื่อนักวิจัย)

E-mail Address : pj_pps@yahoo.com

Project Period : พฤษภาคม 2551 – พฤษภาคม 2553

(ระยะเวลาโครงการ)

Abstract:

(บทคัดย่อ)

This paper examines how and to what extents critical thinking-based EFL lessons helped facilitate Thai EFL students' language learning and their engagement in their fundamental English course for the undergraduate program. The investigation focuses on a qualitative study on the teaching practice of critical thinking-based EFL lessons at the tertiary level. Data were collected through classroom observations and collection of relevant documents—written

assignments and tests. The analysis focuses on data pertaining to the informants' language performance and student learning toward this particular teaching practice. The findings show that critical thinking-oriented EFL lessons, to a large extent, empowered the students' EFL learning. The observed classroom culture, coupled with written tasks discloses that they felt no longer disenfranchised. The teaching approach initiated access to the target language use and the personal "voice" development. Most of the students were fully engaged and willing to take extra steps in their language learning, thus creating a lively learning atmosphere where students actively participated in the classroom activities and co-constructed their language learning. However, the language gain over the year was marginally significant. The study contributes to our understanding and the curriculum design in facilitating EFL students' language learning in the fundamental English course where critical thinking-related lessons can be incorporated. The findings also provide suggestions on how EFL teachers can help prepare a global citizen in responding to social challenges in a knowledge-based society of the 21st century.

Keywords: EFL, critical thinking, multiliteracies

Executive Summary

In the globalization era when the world has become more interconnected, English has become more socio-politically acceptable as symbolic capital worldwide. From this perspective, language learning should cover not only acquisition of appropriate rules of usage, but also mastery of multiple discourse and texts (Luke, 2000) or what the New London Group (1996) called “multiliteracies.”

This paper examines how and to what extents critical thinking-based EFL lessons, as an attempt to incorporate the above concept into EFL lessons, helped facilitate Thai EFL students' language learning and their engagement in their fundamental English course for the undergraduate program. The qualitative study investigated the teaching practice of critical thinking-based EFL lessons at the tertiary level. Data from classroom observations for two consecutive semesters in one academic year and collection of relevant documents—written assignments and tests were collected to unveil the participants' language performance and student learning toward this particular teaching practice. Based on the commercial English text book *New Inside Out* (2008) written by Sue Kay and Vaughan Jones, 10 critical thinking-oriented lessons were designed to provide opportunities to co-construct the value of their language learning. The participants were 37 first-year students in a medium size science-oriented university. They were assigned to a section in accordance with their major, not a placement test. The researcher, utilizing the technique of posing thought-provoking questions regarding topics in reading materials, was their English teachers for both classes. The power of grade or score plays no roles in the integration of critical thinking into EFL classes. Both the mother tongue and English language were welcome during the class activities.

The findings show that critical thinking-oriented EFL lessons, to a large extent, led both the teacher and the students to form different educational landscape regarding student-teacher relations. The observed classroom culture, coupled with written tasks discloses that they felt no longer disenfranchised. Both parties appeared to share ownership of the classroom culture and their language learning and attempt to take a more active role in establishing, maintaining and changing the classroom conventions. In addition, the student participants exhibited positive reactions, high engagement and cognitive attempts during the critical thinking-related EFL activities. The teaching approach appeared to initiate access to the target language use in a contextualized means and the personal “voice” development in the course of their language learning. The data imply the discourse of their challenges and reflections on their cultural stances in a given topic.

However, the language gain over the year was marginally significant. The study contributes to our understanding and the curriculum design in facilitating EFL students’ language learning in the fundamental English course where critical thinking-related lessons can be incorporated. The findings also suggest the importance of the conception of power structure (Kreisburg, 1992) and self-concept as a teacher (Cooper and Simonds, 1999). That is, for any innovative teaching approach to be successfully introduced as an attempt to prepare a global citizen, the teacher needs to take more consideration on both of them. An effective communication in a classroom of the 21st century classroom appears to be a key factor for a positive acceptance for the students.

Chapter 1: Introduction

Critical Thinking in EFL Classes: Reasoning

Due to advances in information technology and globalization, the world of the 21st century, or what is so-called a knowledge-based society, has changed far complex and become more interconnected. Global economic issues, to a large extent, have challenged and put urgent pressures for schools worldwide to redesign their curriculum and teaching practice in every subject at every level so as to improve students' learning as well as to prepare a global citizen.

In order to encounter the changing world, the New London Group (1996) proposed that schools encourage “multiliteracies” pedagogy. This is because, in today’s world, literacy involves both language and multiplicity of communication channels as well as cultural and linguistic diversity or what we called “textual multiplicity” (Cope and Kalantzis, 2000). In the meaning-making process, a text is expressed through different types of media and in different social contexts. Teachers and students, as part of active participants in the global world, should be able to encode, decode, and interpret multiple kinds of literacies which are embedded in multimodal texts.

These days, when breaking down the number of languages, English is considered as a global language and has become more socio-politically acceptable as a symbolic capital worldwide. Given that, English a Foreign Language (EFL) teaching and classrooms should thus make spaces for students to construct and deconstruct multiliteracies in texts in responses to the globalized world. As contended by many researchers and scholars, the current educational model

does not include components for people in the 21st century. According to the 2008 curriculum of Thailand, a new system of knowledge, education and learning emphasizes as desirable outcomes a) communication skills, b) thinking skills, c) problem solving skills, d) socialization and living skills, and e) the use of information technology.

In this particular paper, the situation of critical thinking-oriented EFL classroom practice involves an approach to multiliteracies was explored. Specifically, the focal classroom practice refers to critical thinking-based EFL lessons as advocated by several researchers, claiming that an integration of critical thinking into instruction would lead EFL classes to become meaningful and train the student to become a lifelong learner. In other words, instead of a concentration on the basics of English body of knowledge or what is so-called grammar, this study aims to make a critical thinking-oriented EFL class to have a meaning making process—a meaningful, not thoughtless learning.

Chapter 2: Literature reviews

Critical thinking is a globally-disseminated educational ideal¹ for preparing students in a knowledge-based economy, where information technology leads the world to drastically shrink. Yet, the notion of critical thinking has been developed and emphasized varyingly (Jantrasakul, 2004). It should be noted that each approach does not reflect distinct historical periods or mutually exclusive theoretical paradigms. Rather, there is considerable chronological, conceptual, and practical overlap among the approaches which still carries a clear pedagogical purpose and area of core interest. In this study, two approaches are used and referred to, including critical thinking as a social practice and critical thinking as a social justice.

Recently, critical thinking as a social practice and social justice is what has been considerably discussed. The former argues critical thinking is more socio-cultural practice, which is learned and practiced largely without consciousness or as Atkinson (1997) said, “through the pores” (p.73). Critical thinking is highly context-dependent and has physical and psychological embodiments of socio-cultural positions locally appropriate (through rearing and socialization, especially in childhood). To illustrate its application in ESL setting, several studies have shown that ESL students in the American educational setting are at disadvantage in academic writing due to the practice that they are not actively encouraged to express their “voice” like their American counterpart (Ramanathan & Atkinson, 1999; Ramanathan & Kaplan, 1996).

¹ The term describes efforts UNESCO aims to achieve the goal of universal basic education.

While the latter— critical thinking as a social justice or what is widely known as critical literacy (Luke, 2000; Street, 1993, for example) — represents a more progressive form of critical thinking where “students and teacher [...] together are in control of and actively engaged in shaping the pedagogy, in learning about themselves, their realities, the social world, in developing collective analyses, and in working towards structural transformation” (Walsh, 1991, p. 16). In this approach is a call for schools to question the status quo and of challenge social, historical, and political roots of conventional knowledge and an orientation to transform students’ learning and society.

Kress (1996) contended that both schools and the government around the world should, for the 21st century world, play proactive roles in designing relevant and productive curricula which teach beyond static forms. Like the 2001 English language syllabus of Singapore (Kramer-Dahl, 2008), critical thinking-based EFL lessons in this study is a response to “the effects and pressures of the heteroglossia, hybridity, multilingualism and plurality of semiotic forms that characterize a global era” (p. 86). The EFL lessons hold less on predictable lines and the achievement of predetermined ends. Thus, students’ reactions to the “new communicative order” (Street, 1999) and their language performance would illuminate our understanding of EFL learning where the dichotomy between the native and non-native English speaking teachers has blurred in the globalization era (Kachru & Nelson, 2006).

For this particular study, the term “critical thinking” is used as a neutral term. By that, it refers processes of teaching and learning that aims to develop a set of skills and dispositions conducive to the promotion of creative teaching and active learning in their prerequisite English course. The students are expected to develop their reading comprehension, think critically about

the topics taught in the class, and become actively engaged in learning while the teacher is supposed to constantly seek out ways to help students learn how to learn and assess whether learning has occurred. In other words, it is the case that the teacher attempts to promote their understanding of the value of their education, preventing any students to fall through the cracks during their EFL classes. In order to create a reciprocal relationship in communication with students, the teacher's role is a mentor or facilitator in the teaching-and-learning process.

Chapter 3: Methodology

The fact that English I and English II are a requirement for every undergraduate in the university being under investigation offers some advantages and disadvantages over the critical thinking-oriented approach of teaching and learning. To gain a holistic picture of the study, a methodological description is provided as follows.

3.1. Context of study

Being a qualitative study, the study took place in a medium sized science-oriented university, where the majority of the student population is male students from rural areas. Most of them are male graduates from vocational schools. To fulfill their bachelor's degree requirement, they are required to take two fundamental English courses prior to other foreign language courses. The students are assigned to a section in accordance with their major, not a placement test. The language proficiency of the students is varied in a class.

The classroom was fully equipped with a full set of multimedia teaching equipment—a smart board, a computer with the internet connection operated by the teacher only, a visualizer and an audio set. Desks and chairs were arranged in a row as a typical Thai classroom. In general, not more than 40 students were in one class for the English course. The objective of the two fundamental English courses—English 1 and English 2, is to provide and refresh the fundamental English grammar before taking other elective English courses. 90% of the course grade came from a mid-term examination (40%), a final examination (40%) and a listening test (10%). Grammar, vocabulary, and decoding skill are part of things to be mainly assessed in these

two English courses. The nature of the course involves general English with a focus on contextualized practice at the sentence level. Communication-directed EFL lessons are highly encouraged. The teaching of functional grammar was delivered to help students to understand how meanings are made in texts relating to its functions and the contexts within which the texts are produced. The researcher was the teacher of the course.

3.2 Participants

The participants of this study were 37 first-year students whose major was Civil Engineering Technology. The majority of the participants had known each other for at least 3 years prior to studying at the current university. A few students left the program to another university. These students have a varying proficiency level in the target language. Some have vocational educational background while the others have a general education. Their motivation in studying English is very low, compared with their content subjects such as physics and mathematics. The number of hours in English courses and the course orientation, namely, that between general and vocational English, are challenges in the delivery of critical thinking-based EFL lessons. With their previous educational background in vocational education, their English courses were principally geared toward technical vocabularies and reading passages.

3.3 Data collection

To understand how and to what extent critical thinking-based EFL lessons facilitated EFL learning and student learning, a qualitative research methodology approach was used; classroom observation of one class over two semesters and collection of relevant documents including task sheets, written assignments, and both mid-term and final exam tests were conducted. The

researcher also took a journal at the end of each lesson. The study lasted two semesters for one academic year so as to explore the development of the student's language learning and proficiency. The students were taught by the same teacher for the whole academic year. This is a special request for this particular study only. In turn, it results in a drawback of the investigation.

In this study, critical thinking-based EFL lessons were designed to trigger students' awareness of possible meaning-making in the commercial English textbook *New Inside Out* (2008) written by Sue Kay and Vaughan Jones. Every lesson, excluding Unit 5 and Unit 12 were designed for a critical thinking lesson. The two were excluded due to the time limit and the topic did not seem conducive for critical thinking activities. In each lesson was stressed the technique of posing thought-provoking questions regarding topics in reading materials. The student was asked to complete different types of assignments for each selected reading topic. A video tape was put on since the second class. The students were a bit curious about this at the beginning. As time passed by, the presence of the camcorder was no longer their focus. It should be noted that a critical thinking-oriented EFL lesson did not last for the whole 3-hour class period. Instead, the researcher decided to allocate 45 minutes to 1.5 hours for this activity as an attempt to have a well-integrated class where the main objective of the class set by the university test and of the study were not in a clash against any stakeholder's interest.

Topics included in the textbook were central to the class and also served as a launching point for the critical thinking-based EFL classes. The students were asked to read the text, express their ideas, and make some comments verbally or in a written form. The role of the teacher is to offer leading questions, unobtrusively guide the conversations, and provide instructions on what is expected in their oral and written assignments.

Table 1: Critical thinking-based EFL lessons

Unit	Reading topics for Critical Thinking-oriented lessons	Activities
1	What's in a name?	Oral activity: Self-introduction
2	A dream holiday	Oral activity: Place to visit and Activities to do there
3	Stages of relationship	Writing activity: Story completion
4	The real price of fashion	Oral activity: Your own clothes
5	Being fit	NO ACTIVITY
6	Job	Oral activity: Your future job
7	Eco	Illustration activity: Save your planet
8	Three generations	Oral activity: Attitudes toward education and relationship
9	Smile	Oral activity: Describing your physical appearance and personality
10	Lifestyle	Writing activity: Your future car with certain budget
11	Animals	Oral activity: If you were an animal, what would you like to be?
12	Incredible	NO ACTIVITY

3.4 Data analysis

Working with these 37 first-year students enrolling in a fundamental English course at a science-oriented university, the researcher aimed to train students to become aware of textual multiplicity and competent in English with critical minds. In addition, as Moore & McGill (2005/2006) contended, “ the first year of university is a time of academic and social transition for students. Students’ early experiences are critical to their ultimate success and perseverance. Early enculturation into university expectations and student obligations would seem to play an

essential role in ensuring ease of transition into university study and culture by demystifying what is expected (p.65)."

To understand how critical thinking-based EFL lessons would facilitate students' language learning, the task sheets and writing assignments of the informants were analyzed in conjunction with classroom observation, personal communication, course evaluation administered by the school and a written journal. Data obtained were used to explore the two following research questions:

- 1) How do EFL students react to critical thinking-based EFL lessons?
- 2) How do critical thinking-based EFL lessons facilitate their language learning and thinking development?

In order to capture this phenomenon holistically, the Bakhtinian framework (Bakhtin, 1981)—the notions of heteroglossia and dialogism is used for data analysis. That is to say, an individual's discourse constitutes multiple and intertextual voices; it is not ideologically neutral. Rather, the production of any prose text consists of the selection and organization of different idioms and voices. There is no original voice in discourse; human beings borrow and/or appropriate elements from the various vocabularies and idioms to which they have been exposed (Kamberelis & Scott, 1992; Knoeller, 1998). In this study, that each discourse is never neutral, rather carries ideology each individual believes in, by and large, according to a set of norms and values inherent in his/her community is mainly emphasized. The multiple voices are largely grounded on sets of socio-cognitive resources drawn from the various discursive contexts of which individuals are a part. Therefore, cultural models-- including the internalized social

structures, norms, beliefs, and conventions, to name a few-- serves as the storylines or scripts that individuals hold in their minds as they participate in situated meaning-making activities for pedagogical assumptions and practices. Thus, the students' discourse should not be considered as coherent and single-voiced.

Chapter 4: Findings & Discussion

To understand the instructional practices, the pages to follow describe how critical thinking-based EFL lessons helped facilitate language learning of the first year university students'. Each lesson was designed to be as naturalistic as possible in order to gain a holistic picture of genuine classroom after the delivery. The class integrated language skills and kept language as a whole so as to provide students the opportunities to activate their already learned knowledge and use the newly learned materials in a contextualized situation where they could related to their daily life experience. Isolated language skill-based and decoding tasks were still provided to serve the best interest of both parties—the researcher and the students who had to get good scores for their grammar-oriented mid-term and final test. Meanwhile, this isolated language practice was served as a platform for language use later. The researcher encouraged the students to the concept of indefinite answers in each activity. The focal point of the lesson was to express their ideas or thinking as much as they can, even in the broken language of the target language and/or in a combination between their mother tongue language and the target language.

Data from 10 critical thinking-based EFL lessons over one academic year show that the students were highly motivated to attend the class and fully active during the critical thinking-related classroom activities. None of them fell asleep. Both the teacher and the students formed an educational landscape with different configurations of student-teacher relations. Both parties appeared to share ownership of the classroom culture and attempted to become active in establishing, maintaining and changing its conventions. This positively affected the environment

for language learning in a significance fashion. The participants exhibited great engagement and cognitive attempts during their activities.

From the data analysis, it can be said that the lessons were sites for the students to practice English based on their experience and interests. Data from their course grade suggest an improvement in the language learning. However, the gain was marginally significant; the students were able to gain good scores and correctly complete each blank in the vocabulary part of the reading part only. Below are the findings of the two research questions in details.

Research Question 1: How do EFL students react to critical thinking-based EFL lessons?

From data analysis, it can be said that the students, in this study, seemed to find comfort with critical thinking-related tasks in their English course. They did not seem to be challenged by this teaching approach. The learning atmosphere turned lively where noise and collaboration among students, attentively seeking assistance on vocabularies and sentence structure, and looking at their classmates' work, were prevalent. Data from classroom observation show that the students, mostly male counterpart, spontaneously intermingled their speech both in Thai and English so as to connect the story under discussion with their daily life experience and media information in a jovial fashion most of the time.

In addition, during classroom discussions when they were asked to comment a given issue, some, mostly male, students, without any hesitation, uttered a combination of Thai and English vocabularies corresponding to the issue being discussed topically. Frustration at no definite answers took place at the beginning in the first semester. But as time passed by, the students no longer felt uncomfortable. Overall, instances of their active participation during the

class suggest the students' positive attitudes and reaction to the teaching practice of critical thinking-related EFL lessons. This could be a case where the students started to take an active role in their own language learning.

It should also be noted that the students' willingness to contribute in the critical thinking-oriented EFL lessons may be largely attributable to the fact that they could use their mother tongue in a combination of their English. In addition, realizing that their responses and comments were not determined by the target language proficiency freed the class. More specifically, the power of scores is not of paramount importance for their EFL course. The critical thinking-related lessons were not designed to put any imposition on the students regarding the scores. That is, the task would not be graded based on the grammar, but rather on the originality of the ideas and/or comments toward the topics being discussed in each assignment. The assignment accounted for 4 % of the course grade. This piece of information was explicitly stated at the beginning of the course. Therefore, it can be said that the class, for some students, was simply a matter of language practice while the critical thinking-based EFL classroom activities, for others, were an opportunity to open and connect their worldviews from their cultural perspectives.

In the session of isolated grammar lessons, data from classroom observations show that the students being investigated were disinclined to be inclusive in the learning process. It appears that they distanced themselves from the salience of grammar in their EFL class though it was the main orientation for their mid-term and final exam test. The majority showed less cooperation and blank facial expression when they were asked to put their grammar into practice without a contextualized setting. Only capable and hard-working students displayed their continuous

engagement in the whole class and did not feel “weird” when being called upon for isolated language skill activities.

For their oral tasks, data from classroom observation reveal the students’ eagerness to an interactional contribution even though they got stumbled to find correct word choices intermittently. The enthusiasm to meaning making with the text and the world around them may stem from the factor that no concerns about any “tangible” and “utilitarian” objective, namely, scores, in the course of their language learning. They were simply invited to make the connection to the text being read into the target language without grading.

Data from their written journal on their attitudes toward the course reveal that they were positive and fully welcome this particular teaching approach—critical thinking-oriented EFL lessons. They did not feel against or weird. They were aware that they had to do more assignments than their school mates, but the assignments did not appear to be their burden. They all simply submitted the assignments on the due date. The students’ positive response as well as their active participation in the activities is well reflected in one of the outspoken students’ written journal.

“Teacher, I like your class. Not as serious as my previous classes at the pre-engineering. I like it.

You are excellent and kind! Good! Good! I don’t feel sleepy and feel forced to attend your class. I am very stressful and have enough stress in my content subject classes. So I want my English class to be relaxing and fun, not serious. I mean, I also want a fun class and I can learn how to use English in the class too—able to read, know more vocabularies, can write a sentence to tell me ideas, and speak. Thank you! The class is really fun! I think I learn some. ...”

The above excerpt illustrated what most of the students perceived and reacted to the critical thinking-based EFL lessons. Their less hesitation shows no instances for zone of the discomfort in their academic rigorousness when encountering with unfamiliar words or terms and expressing their ideas in the classroom. The students simply said things out either in the mother tongue language or English as much as they could think of. In general, classroom interaction appears engaging and lively. This may be partly because Thai, a mother language, was mainly allowed in combination with English. Meanwhile, the students were encouraged to share their opinions and/or come up with questions arising from the text or a list of relevant vocabulary. Specifically, high proficient English learners appeared to get engaged with the activities longer than low limited-English proficient learners. Nonetheless, since no grade or evaluation involved, the higher proficient helped the limited language learner to co-construct their language learning and handle their learning struggles. An instance of reticence mostly occurred when the isolated language skills lessons were delivered. Limited knowledge in English and lack of dispositions as an active language learner does not exist in this class.

Research Question 2: How do critical thinking-based EFL lessons facilitate their language learning?

Data from classroom observation, coupled with written tasks suggest that the use of critical thinking-oriented approach in EFL classes had initiated the student involvement and language use, and, to a certain extent, facilitated language learning and empowered their language learning. In a meaningful and contextualized fashion, the students were provided opportunities to put their language into practice, namely, spelling, word choices and sentence

formation. As presented in the earlier pages, the critical thinking-related activities caught the students' attention and made them stay focused to carry out the tasks.

However, after two semesters in one academic year, no significant gain was found in the students' language learning path. Data from the course grade of the first semester with that of the second semester disclose insignificant language improvement. Almost all got the same grade. When examining the mid-term and the final test of both semesters, data reveal the language improvement in the vocabulary part. The students gained higher scores in the part where the critical thinking-oriented EFL lessons were delivered. It should be noted that the students under investigation were terrible at spelling at the beginning. Misspelling was made less during the mid-term and final tests of both semesters.

Despite the fact that no significant language gain was found, this particular teaching innovation appeared to form different conventions of students-teacher relations from the typical, traditional Thai EFL classrooms where the students are supposed to reap the body of knowledge in grammar on their seat. That how critical thinking-based EFL lessons facilitated their language learning and improved their classroom environment as discussed earlier includes two main emerging issues as follows:

Spaces for contextualized language practice within a cultural context

Data from classroom observations show that this particular teaching practice provided spaces for students to engage in discussions and reflective thoughts based on their current knowledge, skills and experiences with the texts in English. Critical thinking-related EFL lessons appeared to serve as concomitant implications for general education and learning skills. That the

lessons were designed to ignite the students' self-expression is evident in class activities and assignments such as reflection-related assignments and oral presentations, regardless of the language used in their participation.

One example of this issue lies in a writing assignment regarding the topic of "relationship". Several instances indicate the "voice" of the participants'. It is a sensitive issue which is rarely explicitly taught in schools. In this activity, the participants were questioned their point of views and values around Thai cultural norms and traditions. Under the category of critical thinking as a social practice, the responses reveal the importance of Thai values—the concepts of Thai family and the respect to parents—in dealing with a relationship problem as shown below. The forum did not only create conviviality to attract the students to communicate in the target language but also enable them to negotiate and rethink their cultural stance regarding the "relationship" in the Thai context.

"Jake's mother talked with them [Fiona and Jake] to understand about married life. Jake and Fiona stopped have a row. They came back to love again. (Sarat, 2008)

"I want to call her Nicky and Fiona wanted to call her Rosie. This was the big problem for us. One day my parent knew about the problem and they gave her granddaughter's name "Luise". I and Fiona accept this." (Kaka, 2008)

Yet, the activity also made spaces for unconventional opinions to the Thai contexts. Some students decided to have the characters in their story to end their marriage

life after several arguments. In some instances is an illustration of the real world, suggesting students' awareness of the world.

“...Five years ago they not happy. They have a row and they split up.” (Itti, 2008)

“But married life is not only happiness. Jake and Fiona have a row everyday. They decided to

divorce that better way than they have a row every day.” (Sumet, 2008)

“Jake and Fiona family have row because Jake having an affair. So he service his new wife very

happy and Fiona have to her son very hard continue. (Thak, 2008)

Another example is when the participants were asked to reflect their opinions about the city in comparison with another country or the capital city of Thailand, Bangkok. A certain set of adjectives for a city description is given. The following excerpts display how the students questioned and connected the texts with themselves and the world around them. The highlighted words and phrases indicate the critical inquiry.

“Songkhla, the town's in south east of Thailand. Songkhla's paradise of traveler because is silent town. **The town for rest.** I prefer to relax. Bangkok, Bangkok's capital city of Thailand. Bangkok's **civilized town but have disorder and very hot** because many crowd. In the morning and evening have **traffic jam. That's terrible.**” (Natty, 2008)

“ I live in Bangkok. It is a **capital [of] the pollution.** [It] is good. Because the Bangkok is beautiful and discover. The Bangkok have cute girl etc. **I’m think about [it as] is capital.** It not capital is best in the world. Because the **Bangkok is best in to area important way history place.**”

(Woody, 2008)

“I was born at Uttaradit province. The weather is very good. People very friendly the streets aren’t crowded. **Uttaradit is never exciting because it is quiet town.** At night nobody go to the outside. **If you love the natural and good air you should go to Uttaradit.”** (Siwa, 2008)

Through their self-exploration in EFL, students were trained to appropriate knowledge about the target language and its culture in accordance with Thai cultural constructs. The excerpts reveal the students co-constructed the meaning of the text with their real worlds, commenting on their hometown and the capital city of their country.

Furthermore, evidence from classroom interaction also shows the prominence of teaching materials as an indispensable guide for the students to build their standpoint. With long familiarity with the concept of teacher as the ultimate authority in teaching/learning and an orientation toward functional goals in schooling, the findings suggest that opportunities for critical reflection in Thai EFL classrooms are needed in an attempt to improve the student language learning and, to certain degree, the pedagogical dilemmas created by the imposition of the skills-oriented tests in EFL curriculum even at the tertiary level.

To summarize, critical thinking-related EFL lessons turned to be didactic, enabling the students to rethink about their cultural standpoints. The lessons serve as a space for contextualized language practice within a cultural context, where the students were provided

with opportunities to share their opinions in public and through written modes. In terms of verbal communication, the students were given chances to realize their English proficiency and viewpoints of the target reading texts.

Empowering the students' language learning

Through spaces for self-expression, the students appeared to become empowered. The personal voices of theirs, as the beneficiaries of this teaching practice, were heard. With the notion of multiplicity of positions of all participants, the teacher and the students played different roles to direct, facilitate and challenge their language learning. Having tried to keep the researcher's role as a classroom facilitator, the classroom culture of "power with" students took place. Data from classroom observation reveal that this may cause them to have more ownership on their task, thus staying more focused to carry out their assignment. The concept of audience also legitimates their voices in the EFL lessons.

In one task, a poster task of individual's personality, the students had to post the A4 paper of their face drawing with a description on the wall for a week and, later, their classmates walked around to make comments on which one was best described. Five students were called on to have an oral presentation of their personality in the class. They were all nervous and excited to describe themselves in front of their classmates and posting a tangible drawing on the wall. A list of adjectives was taught before the activity. The conception of a real audience existed in this activity. As a consequence, the students were fully engaged and stayed focused on the task. There would be not only their teacher but also their classmates in several sections seeing their work. This concept seems to push them to realize their authentic audience while undertaking a task, not just getting it done rather making it meaningful.

Another example involves the task where the students were asked to draw a before-and-after picture of a place they wanted to do a campaign against global warming, together with a list of actions. The selection of the place they live, that is, a bedroom, a house, and a school, suggests that the lessons empowered their personal connection with the texts being read and the world around them. None of the students copied this assignment and, from our personal communication, they enjoyed doing this drawing and making a list of what-to-do things against global warming in their own environment. In a class, a few were asked to say something about their work. Outspoken students, later, prompted their quiet and shy classmates to speak after the turn. Later on, the shy student asked about the possibility to hear another shy student's campaign. This incidence provides evidence of the classroom culture of empowerment. It shows that the students under investigation started to develop the empathy and desire not to dominate the class and discredit others' voice through their encouragement or indirect communication with the teacher.

The discovery of a personal voice was well accepted in another task where the students were asked to make a decision on a new family car after they got a job. The budget was 1,000,000 baht for the new family car which the students drove to work, but would be reserved for the family on weekends. The students did an excellent job for this project. They did great research on the car and rationalized their decision in details. When they had a presentation in front of the class, they appeared to be very proud of their work and their English. A personal follow-up talk with their classmates was made apparent in the class. There was an exchange of their car poster with comments going on. This suggests that they had become comfortable enough with one another and they were willing to take a more active role in the co-construction

of their language learning and the power structure of the class. It is fair to say that the task triggered their personal involvement and promoted the expression of their voice.

Nonetheless, when the topic was far beyond their experience, namely, their future job, they were inclined to distance and disconnect themselves from the class. Their blank eye contacts with the researcher were very obvious. Likewise, some disruptive behaviors including walking to the toilet, lying down on the desk, and looking at their cell phone were quite common phenomenon signaling their disconnection with the delivered lesson. Some students, low-ability ones in particular, feel stigmatized and have lowering motivation for learning.

In sum, it can be said that the teaching approach of critical thinking-based EFL lessons was not far from the practical orientation. It appears to give rise to the values and habits required for all students who are not the same, but should be treated with the same opportunities. Though the participants were provided opportunities to explore their perspective through class activities, the development of their language performance is not significant. Data from the tests of the school display high scores in the vocabulary part which they were assigned to write their reflection. Writing assignments allow students to expand a repertoire of English vocabularies in combination with the activation of prior knowledge—be it the target language or the experience. Their learning was contextualized in a meaningful fashion. It seems fair to claim that critical thinking-based activities have, to certain extents, helped trigger and reinforce the students' language use of vocabularies. The higher participation and spontaneity of the students in each task suggest that they felt that they were disenfranchised less.

Chapter 5: Conclusion

The discourse of students-teacher relations in this study reveals both perception of and response to a Western-adopted conception of critical thinking in EFL classrooms. Overall, critical thinking-oriented approach in EFL classes has proved its viability for the fundamental English class. The student seems favorable in their course of learning. It can be said that critical thinking-based EFL lessons helped expand access to language use and practice for the university students being investigated. Nonetheless, the students' development in language learning was marginally significant. Though being aware that English is a utilitarian language of modernity, not every participant has developed to their fullest potentials. Mixed-ability grouping occasionally caused an instruction to be more skills-based and decoding activities during classes. To step up to the next level, breaking through the language barriers by providing context-rich language resources needs to be taken into consideration. Though ideas matters, the participants as EFL learners are required to be both effective language learner and users.

Part of the students' positive reaction and active contribution in these 10 critical thinking-based EFL lessons may stem from the conceptualization of power (Kreisburg, 1992) and self-concept as a teacher (Cooper and Simonds, 1999). According to Kreisburg, "who we are as teachers and students in school is mediated by our culture of domination and by our social identities and lived experiences that have been forged within them" (p. 198). The recognition of power structures, together with the realization of teacher's concept, referring to "how we perceive ourselves intellectually, socially, and physically; how we would like to be, how we believe others perceive you and how others actually perceive us (p. 31)" played a significant role

in the classroom structure of this particular study. The researcher and the students in this investigation shared the construction of power instead. The researcher as their teacher held the minimum role as the ultimate authority and the arbiter of decision. Undertaking the role of a language learning facilitator led to the organizational structure of “power with” student, resulting in the emergence of empowering the students both in and out of the classroom setting.

Nonetheless, it should be noted that this type of study, by nature, should be treated as interpretive and speculative. Lincoln and Guba (1985) argue that the issue of generalizability is not the major focus of qualitative study. Rather, a detailed description of the phenomenon being investigated is more the goal, allowing a reader to enter the dialogue. Thus, the issues of trustworthiness and transferability (Lincoln & Guba, 1985) should be more of a concern than those of validity and reliability. In addition, in the spirit of transferability, it cannot be generalized, but would rather be specifically determined within the context being studied.

Meanwhile, research is inevitably situated in a particular temporal, cultural, and geographic context, and is, by and large, the product of the particular viewpoint of the researcher. In other words, it is always discursively situated. This study then is a narrative of my perspective as a Thai EFL teacher who has certain educational experiences both in the States and in the context being investigated—regarding classroom practices in critical thinking in Thai EFL tertiary education. The detailed description of fragmented, but opposing discourses I provided is an attempt to present a comprehensive picture of critical thinking-oriented EFL lessons in the two fundamental English prerequisite courses in a university.

To my best knowledge, the investigation of teaching critical thinking-based EFL lessons in higher education is largely unexplored from a qualitative perspective. This study aimed to

address the integration of critical thinking in EFL classes where the language is taught as a separate academic subject. That the students are not proficient enough for these innovative lessons may be another attribution (Luke & Freebody, 1999) for this kind of teaching approach. The participants' priority of their specialization course over the EFL course is one factor needed for lessons redesign. With few exceptions, most schools are likely to organize their curriculum without taking into much consideration their students' voices while students, perhaps, are unconsciously led to leave their education in the hands of the so-called "experts". An attempt to illuminate the layered contexts that confound curriculum-improvement efforts is suggested.

Further research studies

As noted elsewhere, this study represents an attempt to integrate critical thinking into an EFL classroom at the tertiary level. Several other directions for further explorations concerning critical thinking-oriented curriculum in Thai EFL classes should be conducted.

A follow-up on whether and how critical thinking-oriented EFL lessons have made a contribution to other content subject courses would shed light on the relationship between critical thinking and specialization content knowledge, and later their life-long learning skills. As several scholars stated, changes in cultural processes cannot be achieved quickly. This is congruent with changes in learning and teaching. To change traditional schools by whatever curricular innovation teaching practitioners and teacher educators rhetorically advocate is, by nature, transformative and requires a long-term perspective and persistence.

Schools are considered as a cultural institution. As Levison and Holland (1996, cited in Hoffman, 1999, p. 475) note the significance of culture, "situatedness of identities at the

interface of structure and agency, with schools playing a vital (yet underrecognized) role as sites wherein cultural models of “the educated person” are enacted and contested.” In other words, investigating teaching innovation—an integration of critical thinking-based lessons into EFL course-- as a socio-cultural process is more explainable for what is culturally responsive and appropriate. It enables the researcher to understand and reposition how to design EFL classes to accommodate the life in the “New Times” of the twenty-first century where education become a global enterprise (Hall, 1996).

Acknowledgement

1. This study is funded by the Thailand Research Fund. I also thank Asst. Prof. Dr. Kawpong Polyorat for his comments and support during the study.
2. This paper was presented at International Conference of Education, Research, and Innovation (ICERI), Madrid, Spain during Nov. 17 - 19, 2008 and was financially supported by College of Industrial Technology, King Mongkut’s University of Technology North Bangkok. It was published in *ICERI 2008 Conference Proceeding*.

References

Atkinson, D. (1997). A critical approach to critical thinking in TESOL. *TESOL Quarterly (31)*, 1, p. 71-91.

Bakhtin, M.M. 1981. *The dialogic imagination.* (M. Holoquist (ed.). C. Emerson & M. Holoquist, Trans.) Austin, TX: University of Texas Press.

Cohen, Y. (1999). To think more, memorize less. *Christian Science Monitor (91)*, 75, p.1-6.

Cooper, P. J. & Simonds, C. (1999). *Communication for the classroom teacher* (6th ed.). Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon.

Hall, S. (1996). The meaning of New Times. In D. Morley and K. H. Chen (eds.), *Stuart Hall: Critical Dialogues in Cultural Studies* (p.223-237). London: Routledge.

Jantrasakul, P. (2004). *School reform and critical thinking: Policy and practice in Thai EFL classrooms.* Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation. Indiana University, Bloomington.

(2008). Voices in EFL Education. *NIDA Development Journal, 48* (4), p. 45-68.

Jin, L. & Cortazzi, M. (1998). The culture the learner brings: A bridge or a barrier? In M. Bryam and M. Fleming (Eds), *Language Learning in Intercultural Perspective Approach through drama and ethnography* (pp. 98-118). NY: Cambridge Press.

Kamberelis, G. (2001). Producing Heteroglossic Classroom (Micro)cultures through hybrid

discourse practice. *Linguistics and education*, 12(1), 85-125.

Krachru, Y. and Nelson, C. L. (2006). *World Englishes in Asian Contexts*. Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press.

Kramer-Dahl, A. (2001). Importing critical literacy pedagogy: Does it have to fail? *Language and Education*, 15 (1), 14-32. (2008). Negotiating what counts as English language teaching: Official curriculum and its enactment in two Singaporeans secondary classrooms. *Research Papers in Education*, 23(1), p. 85-407.

Kreisburg, S. (1992). *Transforming power: Domination, empowerment, and education*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.

Kress, G. (1996). Internationalisation and globalisation: Rethinking curriculum of communication. *Comparative Education*, 32, 185-96.

Kress, G., Jewitt, C. & Tsatsarelis, C (2000). Knowledge, Identity, Pedagogy pedagogic discourse and the representational environments of education in late modernity. *Linguistics and Education*, 11(1), 7-30.

Lincoln, Y. S. & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic Inquiry*. Newbury Park, California: SAGE.

Luke, A. (1995). Text and discourse in education: An introduction to critical discourse analysis. In M. W. Apple (Ed.), *Review of research in education* (Vol. 21, pp. 3-48). Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association. (2000). Critical literacy in Australia: A matter of context and standpoint. *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy*, 43 (5), 448-461.

Luke, A. & Freebody, P. (1999). Further notes on the four resources model. *Reading*

Online. <http://www.readingonline.org/research/lukefreebody.html>.

Center for the Studies of Higher Education Brochure (CSHE). (2007). Nagoya, Japan: Nagoya University.

Masachiro, C. et al. Development of Study Tips for Involving University First-Year Students in the Academic Community. *Nagoya Journal of Higher Education*. Vol. 7. Retrieved on June 14, 2007 at <http://www.cshe.nagoya-u.ac.jp/publications/journal/no7/21.pdf>

New London Group. (1996). A pedagogy of multiliteracies. *Harvard Educational Review*, 60(1), p. 66–92.

Poole, D. (2008). Interactional differentiation in the mixed-ability group: A situated view of two struggling readers. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 43(3), 228-250.

Ramanathan, V. & Atkinson, D. (1999). Individualism, academic writing, and ESL writers. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 8, (1) 45-75.

Ramanathan, V. & Kaplan, R.B. (1996). Audience and voice in current composition texts: Some implications for ESL student writers. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 5, (1) 21-34. (1996). Some problematic “channels” in the teaching of critical thinking in current L1 composition textbooks: Implication for L2 student writers. *Issues in Applied Linguistics*, 7, 225-249.

Street, B. (1993). Introduction: The new literacy studies. In B. V. Street (ed.), *Cross-cultural approaches to literacy* (pp. 1-22). Cambridge: University Press. (1999). New literacies in theory and practice: What are the implications for language in education? *Linguistics and Education*, 10, p. 1-24.